

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS**

Subject: Julius Rosenberg

File Number: 65-15348

Section: Volume 22



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

FILE DESCRIPTION

NEW YORK FILE

SUBJECT JULIUS ROSENBERG

FILE NO. 65-15348

VOLUME NO. 22

SERIALS 915

THROUGH

935

File No: 65-15348Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: _____
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|---------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 915 | 11/2/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 6 | 6 | No | 7c pg 1, 7c pg 6 |
| 916 | 11/3/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | No | no exemption cited |
| 917 | 11/3/50 | BUREAU LETTER TO NY | 1 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-566 |
| 918 | 11/4/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 2 | 2 | No | no exemptions cited |
| 919 | 11/7/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | No | 7c pg 1 |
| 920 | 11/4/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 1 | 1 | No | no exemption cited |
| 921 | 11/7/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 3 | 1 | No | REFERRED TO ANOTHER GOVT. AGENCY |
| 922 | 11/7/50 | SAC, NY LETTER TO POSTMASTER | 1 | 1 | No | no exemptions cited |
| 923 | 11/7/50 | NY LETTER TO BUREAU | 1 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-564 |
| 924 | 11/7/50 | NY LETTER TO BUREAU | 1 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-565 |
| 925 | 11/8/50 | NY TELETYPE TO CG | 1 | 1 | No | no exemption cited |
| 926 | 11/8/50 | NY LETTER TO BUREAU | 8 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-571 |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No: 65-15348Re: JULIUS ROSENBERGDate: 10/77
(month/year)

| Serial | Date | Description (Type of communication, to, from) | No. of Pages | | * | Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited) |
|--------|----------|--|--------------|----------|-----|--|
| | | | Actual | Released | | |
| 927 | 11/9/50 | AL REPORT TO BUREAU | 4 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-569 |
| 928 | 11/9/50 | LA REPORT TO BUREAU | 2 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-578 |
| 929 | 11/10/50 | POSTMASTER LETTER TO NY | 1 | 1 | NO | no exemption cited |
| 930 | 11/10/50 | CG LETTER TO BUREAU | 1 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-581 |
| 931 | 11/13/50 | NY REPORT TO BUREAU | 147 | - | YES | Bufile 101-2483-691 |
| 932 | 11/13/50 | BA REPORT TO BUREAU | 6 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-580 |
| 933 | 11/14/50 | NY TELETYPE TO BUREAU | 8 | - | YES | Bufile 65-58236-577 |
| 934 | 11/14/50 | SA MEMO TO FILE | 3 | 1 | NO | 7C pgs 1,2,3 |
| 934A | 9/26/50 | NY LETTER TO BUREAU | 1 | - | YES | 65-58236-513 |
| 935 | 11/15/50 | NF REPORT TO BUREAU | 3 | - | YES | 65-58236-572 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque

File No. 65-15348

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 915 | 11/2/50 | (b) (7) (C) - Descriptive information relating to third parties was withheld on pages 4 and 6 to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Information on page 4 pertains to a physical or mental disorder and information on page 6 pertains to political affiliation. |
| 916 | 11/3/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 917 | 11/3/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 918 | 11/4/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 919 | 11/7/50 | (b) (7) (C) - Addresses, background, and descriptive information of a third party was withheld on page 1 to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. |
| 920 | 11/4/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 921 | 11/7/50 | This document was referred to another Government agency. |
| 922 | 11/7/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 923 | 11/7/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 924 | 11/7/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 925 | 11/8/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 926 | 11/8/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |
| 927 | 11/9/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet. |

| Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S) |
|---------------|----------------|--|
| 928 | 11/9/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 929 | 11/10/50 | No exemptions were cited. |
| 930 | 11/10/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 931 | 11/13/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 932 | 11/13/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 933 | 11/14/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 934 | 11/14/50 | (b) (7) (C) - Background and descriptive information of third parties was withheld on pages 1, 2, and 3 to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. |
| 934a | 9/26/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |
| 935 | 11/15/50 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the inventory work sheet. |

U. S. Department of Justice

MATERIAL MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM OR ADDED TO THIS FILE

FEDERAL BUREAU

of

INVESTIGATION

Bureau File Number

also Nos. Mope Case
not furnished
Memorandum
to the Director
regarding
the

the investigation
the file is with
the subject case
of a report, more every
consequence, in
the investigation
and the investigation
section 6

CLASSIFICATION NO.

65

15444

Serial

11

Volume Number

1

New York, New York
November 2, 1950

MEMO:

Re: MAX ELITCHER;
HELENE ELITCHER;
ESPIONAGE - R

On October 28, 1950, MAX ELITCHER was interviewed at the New York Office, at which time he furnished the following information:

ELITCHER recalled that when ROSENBERG visited him at his home in Washington, D. C. for the first time, in the summer of 1944, ROSENBERG told ELITCHER and his wife that he was greatly elated over the "D-Day" invasion made by the Allies. It is noted that the invasion date was June 6, 1944, indicating that ROSENBERG'S visit was subsequent to that day. ELITCHER recalled that at the conclusion of his private conversation with ROSENBERG, relative to ELITCHER'S turning over confidential information to him, ROSENBERG mentioned in the presence of MAX and his wife, HELENE, that he had had a drink with a friend of his, a Russian, who had just come off a boat, in celebration of the Allies invading the coast of France on June 6, 1944. ELITCHER stated that his wife was quite certain in her mind that the occasion referred to by ROSENBERG was "D-Day", and ELITCHER stated that he himself was quite sure that was the occasion ROSENBERG referred to. He did state, however, that he had the occasion of the defense of Stalingrad in mind as a possibility for ROSENBERG'S celebration with his Russian friend. ELITCHER stated that ROSENBERG made absolutely no reference to the identity of this Russian individual.

ELITCHER stated that at some unknown date, in his later association with ROSENBERG, ROSENBERG again mentioned this Russian friend of his, whom ELITCHER believed to be his Russian contact in espionage work. He stated, however, that ROSENBERG never disclosed the identity of this individual, and he added that he could not recall the circumstances that drew this unknown Russian into the conversation between himself and ROSENBERG.

In view of the fact that "D-Day" took place on June 6, 1944, ELITCHER stated that in his own mind he was sufficiently satisfied that

cc: NY 100-37158
165-15348
65-15387
65-15396

VJC:NFB
65-11,873

65-15348-915

| |
|----------------|
| F. B. I. |
| NOV 2 1950 |
| N. Y. C. |
| ROUTED TO FILE |

65-11,873

Memo

NY 65-14873

ROSENBERG'S visit into his home in Washington occurred after that date. He stated further that he and his wife were both certain that ROSENBERG'S visit to their home for the first time occurred prior to September of 1944, since the ELITCHERS had dinner with ROSENBERG and several other individuals at the Bird In Hand Restaurant, at 1659 Broadway, in New York City, in September, and HELENE was already acquainted with ROSENBERG at that time.

To further substantiate this, ELITCHER stated that he and his wife had given the matter considerable thought and had recalled that RUTH ALSCHER, who was present for dinner at the Bird In Hand Restaurant, in September, 1944, was noticeably pregnant at the time; ELITCHER estimated approximately four months. It is noted that PETER JACK ALSCHER, according to the records of the Board of Health for the City of New York, certificate number 4013, was born on February 4, 1945, at the Parkwest Hospital in New York City; that his father was MORRIS, 29 years of age, born in New York, and a soldier in the United States Army, and his mother was RUTH HOLBERTHAL, 22 years of age, born in New York City. The ALSCHERS at that time resided at 1445 Madison Avenue, New York City. This information was obtained by Special Employee GERARD M. LENAHAN on October 27, 1950.

From this information, it would appear that the ELITCHERS are correct in assuming that the dinner party at the Bird In Hand Restaurant did occur during September of 1944, since this was approximately five months prior to the time that RUTH ALSCHER gave birth to a son.

ELITCHER recalled in connection with this dinner at the Bird In Hand Restaurant in September, 1944, that he and his wife were in New York City either for the day or on a week-end visit, and went to visit his mother at her home in New York City. ELITCHER stated that he and his wife possibly visited RUTH ALSCHER at her home after seeing his mother, since RUTH ALSCHER was pregnant and her husband, MORRIS, was in the service at the time. ELITCHER stated that he did not recall the circumstances of RUTH ALSCHER'S presence at the dinner, but said that she was probably home alone, and that the ALSCHERS invited her to come along. ELITCHER further recalled that by pre-arrangement they were to meet ROSENBERG at the corner of 42nd Street and 8th Avenue in New York City, and ELITCHER stated that prior to his arrival there, he knew that WILLIAM PERL was going to be present. He did not know how he came into

Memo

NY 65-14873

possession of this information, but assumed that he had been told that PERL would be along by ROSENBERG. At any rate, the ELITCHERS and RUTH ALSCHER met JULIUS ROSENBERG at the aforementioned intersection, and shortly thereafter, WILLIAM PERL and his younger brother arrived. ELITCHER stated that the entire party walked up 8th Avenue, north bound, and were intent on going to Fornos Restaurant for dinner. He stated that everyone was wearing light clothing, indicating that it was still quite warm. ELITCHER could not recall exactly, but stated that they did not get into Fornos Restaurant, either because the restaurant was closed or because somebody was not wearing a jacket and would not be permitted to eat in the restaurant without one. At any rate, the group had dinner at the Bird In Hand Restaurant at 1659 Broadway, New York City, and either during the dinner or immediately after, ROSENBERG made a telephone call to JOEL BARR. BARR subsequently joined the group at the restaurant, and when dinner was over, they all returned to BARR'S home. ELITCHER recalled that BARR had a roof garden apartment at the top of an apartment building, and he recalled that the entire group spent the whole evening outdoors, which would again indicate that the day was a mild one.

ELITCHER advised that MORTON SOBELL in September, 1941, was making approximately \$2600.00 per year, while employed at the Bureau of Ordnance in the Navy Department, Washington, D. C.

It will be recalled that SOBELL left his job at the Navy Department at that time to attend the University of Michigan, where he intended to obtain a Masters Degree in Electronics. ELITCHER stated that this would embrace a study of radio.

The above information is set forth inasmuch as one of the letters, dated in October, 1941, written by WILLIAM PERL, indicated that a friend of his, name not mentioned, had given up his \$2600.00 a year job to go back to school and study radio. It is felt that PERL was probably referring to MORTON SOBELL.


ELITCHER stated that MORRIS and RUTH ALSCHER, his brother and sister-in-law respectively, met WILLIAM and SYLVIA DANZIGER in New York City at some affairs, in either 1942 or 1943. He stated that the ALSCHERS and the DANZIGERS became quite close friends, and saw each other quite often.

Memo

NY 65-14873

ELITCHER believed that BERNICE LEVINE or LEVIN met RUTH and MORRIS ALSCHER through the DANZIGERS. By way of explanation, ELITCHER stated that he first met BERNICE LEVIN in the spring of 1940 while he and SOBELL were residing at 2225 N Street, Northwest, Washington, D. C. ELITCHER stated that SOBELL went out socially with BERNICE LEVIN a few times. ELITCHER believed that the DANZIGERS were in Washington at that time and were introduced to BERNICE LEVIN through ELITCHER and SOBELL. ELITCHER also stated that the DANZIGERS would probably have known BERNICE LEVIN anyhow through attendance at large American Peace Mobilization meetings which were held in Washington. ELITCHER stated that some of these larger meetings were held in the Press Building in Washington, D. C., located at 14th and F Street, and said that the DANZIGERS had attended some of them as had BERNICE LEVIN. ELITCHER summarized that the DANZIGERS would at least have known of ANNA GOODMAN ALLEN if they had been in Washington at that time. ELITCHER did not know this for a fact, however, and said that he did not know whether the DANZIGERS knew ALLEN at all or well.

ELITCHER stated that around 1942, he had been told by ANNA GOODMAN ALLEN that BERNICE LEVIN



As stated before, MORRIS and RUTH ALSCHER met the DANZIGERS at some affair in New York, after the DANZIGERS had returned to the city, either in 1942 or 1943. ELITCHER stated that he next heard that BERNICE LEVIN knew RUTH and MORRIS ALSCHER. He suspected that the DANZIGERS were the link in bringing BERNICE LEVIN into association with the ALSCHERS. At any rate, BERNICE LEVIN became a very close friend of RUTH ALSCHER, and ELITCHER stated that LEVIN assisted her a great deal at the funeral of her husband, MORRIS in 1950.

ELITCHER stated that the day his brother MORRIS died, RUTH ALSCHER called him from Nyack, New York, and said that she was in the Nyack General Hospital, and that her husband, MORRIS, was dying. ELITCHER stated that his first reaction was to try to engage some specialist who might be of help, but before anything could be done, RUTH ALSCHER called again within a half hour, and told him that MORRIS had died. RUTH ALSCHER told him at that time that she had tried to contact BERNICE LEVIN, but was unable to

Memo

NY 65-14873

reach her, and asked MAX ELITCHER to try to contact her. A short time later, ELITCHER received a call from BERNICE LEVIN and told ELITCHER that she had just heard from RUTH ALSCHER about her husband's death. ELITCHER stated that that same night he and his wife, HELENE, visited his mother and told her of MORRIS' death. The ELITCHERS then visited RUTH ALSCHER to see about the funeral arrangements for MORRIS. When they arrived at RUTH'S house, BERNICE LEVIN was there, and she remained there with RUTH ALSCHER that night and was there the following morning. ELITCHER stated that BERNICE LEVIN, at the request of RUTH ALSCHER, took some money downtown to the funeral establishment that was going to handle MORRIS' burial.

As will be recalled, the DANZIGERS called the ELITCHERS on the morning of the funeral and stated that they would be present. However, the ELITCHERS did not observe the DANZIGERS at the funeral. ELITCHER advised that he had not advised them of his brother's death, and assumed that the DANZIGERS had learned of it either from RUTH ALSCHER or from BERNICE LEVIN.

ELITCHER stated that his brother, MORRIS, worked in the Internal Revenue Bureau of the Treasury Department, New York City, subsequent to his discharge from service, and was an accountant by profession. ELITCHER did not know whether MORRIS had ever acquired a CPA license.

Regarding ABRAHAM FOOKSON, ELITCHER recalled this individual as ARCHIE FOOKSON. ELITCHER believed that FOOKSON graduated from CCNY either in 1936 or 1937. He recalled that FOOKSON was working in the patent office, Washington, D. C. at about the time that ELITCHER was in Washington. ELITCHER stated that he believed FOOKSON transferred, possibly in 1940 or 1941, to the National Bureau of Standards, and thought he was an engineer by profession. ELITCHER was not sure whether FOOKSON was a member of the Communist Party in Washington, and said that he had no direct knowledge of his own. ELITCHER did associate FOOKSON, however, with leftist activities, in Washington, D. C. He believed that FOOKSON was a member of the FAECT.


ELITCHER stated that when WILLIAM DANZIGER returned to Washington, D. C. in the summer of 1945, he became involved with the FAECT. DANZIGER was working in the Gun Mount Section of the Bureau of Ordnance of

Memo
NY 65-14873


the Navy Department, and ELITCHER believed that DANZIGER joined the FAECT shortly after taking up this employment.

Subsequently, DANZIGER joined the United Federal Workers of America, and sometime thereafter, a discussion arose as to whether the engineers employed in the Government should be in the UFWA or in the FAECT. ELITCHER stated that DANZIGER wanted to be a member of the FAECT, and argued that all engineers in the Bureau of Ordnance should belong to that union. ELITCHER stated that he did not know whether DANZIGER was propounding this as a policy he wanted to see adopted everywhere, or whether he was just trying to justify his membership in the FAECT. At any rate, DANZIGER could enlist no support from the other members of his particular local who were engineers, to enroll in the FAECT, and accordingly, he dropped out of the FAECT, and maintained only his UFWA membership. ELITCHER was quite sure WILLIAM DANZIGER knew of ABRAHAM FOOKSON, but ELITCHER did not think that DANZIGER knew him very well, socially or otherwise.

ELITCHER stated that he knew of DAVID LANDY and his wife.



ELITCHER stated that he had never heard of anyone named BEN or SAM ZATMAN.



VINCENT J. CAHILL, SA

New York, New York
November 3, 1950

MEMO

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ETAL
ESPIONAGE - R

Period: 10/11, 17, 24/50.

JULIUS ROSENBERG'S CLEBAR WRISTWATCH

Mr. HARVEY DINSTEIN, Treasurer, I. D. WATCH CASE CO., 137-11 90th Avenue, Jamaica, New York, advised that the watch case on instant watch was sold by his firm sometime between 1942 and 1944 to the CLEBAR WATCH CO., 551 Fifth Avenue, New York City.

Mr. SAL TRAUBER, Secretary, CLEBAR WATCH CO., 551 Fifth Avenue, New York City, advised that there is no way of determining to whom this watch was sold. He said that the watch is probably about five years old and that nearly all such watches were sold to the Army and Navy for resale at Post Exchanges and Ships Stores. He stated that the watch bears unidentifiable repair marks, dated 1946 and 1948.

JULIUS ROSENBERG'S CROTON AQUAMATIC WRISTWATCH

Mr. JACK EVANS, Order Department, CROTON WATCH COMPANY, 48 West 48th Street, New York City, advised that instant watch is not much older than one year. He advised that the number appearing on the back of the watch case would appear in the invoice, reflecting the sale of instant watch. He stated, however, there is no way of locating the invoice, without checking all of the company's invoices, which number several hundred each day.

Mr. EVANS stated that the watches bear the number on the back at the time they are imported by the CROTON WATCH COMPANY and that the numbers are not in any chronological order according to the date they are received. He made available to the agent several thousand unfilled guarantees from customers who had purchased waterproof watches made by the CROTON WATCH COMPANY. These slips contain the name and address of the purchaser as well as that of the retailer and the number appearing on the back of the watch.

JLL:ED
65-15348

65-15348-916

| | |
|------------|------|
| NO. 3 1250 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO | FILE |
| 11-1-50 | W/f |

MEMO
NY 65-15348

These slips were reviewed by the writer and failed to reflect a guarantee return slip for instant watch, although several were noted for numbers within one hundred of that appearing on the back of instant watch.

Mr. EVANS advised that it would probably take a month or two to review all of the company's invoices for the past year and inasmuch as the company is very short of personnel and this is the rush season for the sale of watches, there is no one available to handle such a project.

JOHN M. LEWIS, SA

MEMO

November 4, 1950

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
Espionage-R.

The following former employees of the PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS were interviewed by the writer regarding their knowledge of the subject.

~~KAEL~~ HORVATH
1564 First Ave., NYC

HORVATH advised the writer on 9/29/50 that he was employed ~~xxx~~ with the company from May, 1949 to August, 1950 as an apprentice machinist. He was laid off due to a lack of work. He stated that he was treated very well by the subject and had no complaints regarding him as an employer. HORVATH knew nothing regarding the subjects associates and activities around the shop. He recalled that after the subject was arrested his wife was often in the shop apparently taking ~~xxxxxxx~~ care of the office work.

HORVATH is presently employed by WALDEN INDUSTRIES, 74 W 52nd St. He is twenty years of age, born 4/5/30.

~~JOSEPH~~ BUSCKO
203 W 85th St., NYC

BUSCKO advised the writer on 10/10/50 that he was employed for about a month at Pitt Machine Products as a machine helper. He stated that he got the job through a friend who heard of an opening in the company. He was laid off because of a lack of work. BUSCKO stated that he knew nothing about the subject at all. He recalled that at the time there was a rumor that the company was to sold to some man in the lumber business. He knew nothing further regarding this.

BUSCKO is at the present time attending a trade school and is not employed.

~~SOLOMON~~ FEIN
108 Ave D, NYC

FEIN advised the writer on 11/4/50 that he was employed in the subjects company from february, 1947 to October, 1948. He obtained the job through DAVID GREENGLASS. He was a machine apprentice under the G.I. Bill. He stated that he and GREENGLASS grew up together and were school mates. When questioned regarding GREENGLASS he stated that he never had any indication that GREENGLASS was active

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| 65-12348-78 | |
| F. B. I. | |
| NOV 4 1950 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO Worton | FILE Wp |

or interested in communism or espionage. He stated that when WEINBERG was arrested he was shocked and couldn't believe that he would be involved in such a thing. Regarding the relationship between WEINBERG and the subject FELA stated that he knew they were related but they never got along together in the shop. They were always arguing about something. He stated that he knew nothing about the subject's activities at all except for the business itself. FELA stated that he was laid off due to a lack of work.

FELA is presently employed by the Gibraltar Household Products Co., 225 Tenth Avenue, Bklyn

65 15543

SA Walter A. Langenheim

141

New York, New York
November 7, 1950

MEMO

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG;
ESPIONAGE - R

Reference is made to the memo of SA LESTER O. GALLAHER dated August 15, 1950.

On October 2, 1950, Mrs. AIDA TACKEL, [REDACTED] New York, was interviewed at her home. Mrs. TACKEL could furnish no information about subject or his wife, and she could not recall that they had ever been in her home. Photographs of subject, his wife and others in instant case were exhibited to Mrs. TACKEL with negative results.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Mrs. TACKEL was of the opinion that possibly subject was a contact of her husband, but she could not recall subject ever being in contact with her husband. RUC.

J. GARTH GRAY, SA

JGG:DRS
65-15348

65-15348-919

| | |
|--------------------|------------|
| F. B. I. | |
| NOV 7 8 1950 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO Caton | FILE Wm |

November 4, 1950

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Re mail cover dated October 19, 1950.

HARRY GREENBERG, Comptroller, David's Specialty Shop, 225 West 34th Street, NYC, advised on 10/26/50 that there is no record of any correspondence of that firm with JULIUS ROSENBERG, 10 Monroe Street, NYC. Mr. GREENBERG stated that David's Specialty Shop deals exclusively in ladies wear, and their entire business is done out of NYC. He stated that they frequently circularized their customers, but NYC is not one of the areas to which they send circulars. He further stated that there are over thirty employees in the NY Office, all of whom have access to their mailing envelopes which contain the return address of David's Specialty Shop, 225 West 34th Street, NYC.

ROBERT W. COHOLAN, SA

RWC:ADV
65-15348

65-15348-9201

| | |
|------------|------|
| F. B. I. | |
| NOV 4 1950 | |
| N. Y. C. | |
| ROUTED TO | FILE |

607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York

65-15348

November 7, 1950

Honorable Albert Goldman

U.S. Post Office
Postmaster
33rd St. & 8th Ave.
New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

In connection with an investigation being ^{renewed} conducted
by this Bureau, it is requested that a cover be placed on all
mail, including special delivery and registered mail, of the
following for a period of thirty days:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & ETHEL ROSENBERG
10 Monroe Street
New York 2, New York

☒ return cards

☐ tracings

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHEIDT

Special Agent in Charge

WHE:JL

65-15348-922

THE ADDRESSEE ON MAIL MATTER INTENDED FOR DELIVERY AT NEW YORK, N. Y., SHOULD INCLUDE THE NUMBER AND STREET, ZONE NUMBER, AND IF FOR A BOX HOLDER, THE NUMBER OF THE BOX AND THE STATION AT WHICH THE BOX IS LOCATED.

CORRESPONDENTS OF YOUR POSTAL DELIVERY ZONE NUMBER
CAN EARLY AND OFTEN TO EXPEDITE DISPATCH AND DELIVERY.

IN REPLYING PLEASE
REFER TO INITIALS AND DATE

INC:GM:GHN

TELEPHONE ROOM 5-7705
EXTENSION 889

United States Post Office

DIVISION OF INCOMING MAILS

NEW YORK 1, N. Y.

November 10, 1950.

Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice,
607 United States Court House,
New York 7, N. Y.

My dear Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of your request to have a
cover placed on all mail for a period of thirty days addressed to:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & ETHEL ROSENBERG,
10 Monroe Street,
New York 2, N. Y.

☒ Return cards

☐ Tracings

will be forwarded to your office directly as they arrive,
properly endorsed with your File No. 65-15348.

Sincerely yours,

Postmaster.

65-15348-929

| | |
|-----------------|--------------|
| SEARCHED..... | INDEXED..... |
| SERIALIZED..... | FILED..... |
| NOV 13 1950 | |
| FBI - NEW YORK | |
| W.P. [initials] | [initials] |

1-22-VS

65-15348

Memo:

Re: Julius Rosenberg, et al
Espionage - R

Alexander Lunceau, wa
Alexander Lander

Re: Memo of 10/6/50 by S.A. Maurice C. Murphy
On Nov. 15, Mr. FRANK DYCE, Supt. 105 PINEHURST
Ave., N.Y.C. (former BURNS Agency Operative) advised
Alexander Lunceau with his wife and a daughter named
June Lunceau had resided at that address for about 2
years.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

NOV 14 1950

65-15348-924